

MONDAY

MAY 16, 2022

The Governing Body of the City of Pratt met in Regular Session in the Commission Room located at City Hall.

PRESENT:	Zach Deeds	Mayor
	Don Peters	Commissioner
	Gary Schmidt	Commissioner
	Jeanette Siemens	Commissioner
	Kyle Farmer	Commissioner
ALSO PRESENT:	Bruce Pinkall	City Manager
	LuAnn Kramer	City Clerk
	Regina Goff	City Attorney
	Brent Carver	Finance Director
	Russell Rambat	Public Works Director
	Brad Blankenship	Building Inspector
	John Giggy	Line Distribution Supervisor
	Larry Eisenhower	Recreation Director

CALL TO ORDER:

The regular meeting was called to order by Mayor Deeds. The Mayor instructed the Clerk to note that all Commissioners were present.

Mayor Deeds reminded the audience that this meeting may be taped and/or recorded.

BUSINESS:

BUDGET HEARING TO AMEND THE 2022 CITY OF PRATT BUDGET:

- **OPEN PUBLIC HEARING BY MOTION TO AMEND THE 2022 CITY OF PRATT BUDGET:**

Commissioner Schmidt made a motion to open the public hearing to amend the 2022 City of Pratt budget. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Siemens and carried unanimously.

DISCUSSION:

Finance Director Carver stated that this amendment was for the 911 funds that the City took over from the County. Mr. Carver reminded the Commission that they had amended the 2021 Budget in November for those funds also. Mr. Carver explained that the 2022 budget had already been set when we took those funds over; therefore, we needed to amend it also. Mr. Carver stated that we had \$123,294.70 left over from 2021 that was not spent and we would amend the budget at \$201,295, which was all the money we had. Mr. Carver added that we had to match revenues with expenditures so that we could spend all of this money if we needed to. Commissioner Schmidt asked how much comes in every month. Mr. Carver stated that was \$6,500.

2 – May 16, 2022 (Regular City Commission meeting)

- **CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING BY MOTION TO AMEND THE 2022 CITY OF PRATT BUDGET:**

Mayor Deeds made a motion to close the public hearing to amend the 2022 City of Pratt Budget. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Peters and carried unanimously.

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT OF THE 2022 CITY OF PRATT BUDGET:

Commissioner Schmidt made a motion to approve the amendment of the 2022 City of Pratt Budget. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Farmer and carried unanimously.

PRESENTATION OF THE 2022 KMU LINE WORKER EXCELLENCE AWARD TO LINEMAN TYLER MARKUS:

KMU Director of Energy Services Brian Meek stated that it was his honor to present Tyler Markus with the first annual Lineworker Award of Excellence. Mr. Meek commented that Mr. Markus exemplifies the ideal lineworker and his dedication, technical expertise and leadership made him a model lineworker for all of Kansas. Mr. Meek stated that his skills played a crucial role in constructing a record number of power poles and improving the reliability of the City's electrical system. Mr. Meek explained that Mr. Markus' knowledge of electric transformer theory and operation had resulted in a consistently low outage rate in the City's distribution system. Mr. Meek continued stating that Mr. Markus' expertise and desire for service had also been demonstrated on several mutual aid events including Hurricane Irma in Florida and he had also been a volunteer instructor at KMU's Lineworker workshops for several years where he had passed along to other lineworkers, not only his knowledge of electrical systems, but his passion for his work and his community. Mr. Markus was then presented his award.

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL TO CLOSE 3RD STREET FROM MAIN STR. TO NINNESCAH STR. FOR THE PEOPLES BANK BLOCK PARTY ON FRIDAY, JUNE 10TH FROM 11:30 AM TO 1:30 PM:

Peoples Bank employee, Shelli Allen, informed the Commission that they would be having their 135th anniversary on May 20th, but would like to celebrate it on June 10th with a block party from 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. Ms. Allen stated that they were asking for permission to block off Third Street from Main Street to Ninnescah Street and also would be needing polycarts for trash. Public Works Director Rambat stated that they could leave barricades and carts there for them and they could place them where they wanted them. Ms. Allen commented that they had visited with Mr. Jeff Taylor about the south side of Third Street and he was okay with them using that side also. Commissioner Peters asked if they had talked to the other businesses on that block. Ms. Allen stated that they would be doing that. With no more discussion, Commissioner Farmer made a motion to approve the closure of Third Street from Main Street to Ninnescah Street for the Peoples Bank block party on June 10th from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Siemens and carried unanimously.

PRESENTATION - THE JOB OF AN INSURANCE BROKER:

Ms. Brenda Johnston, Midwest Benefit Resources, stated that she was a locally owned business and was a consulting company for employers and for individuals. Ms. Johnston commented that she worked to get an overall plan that works for everyone and she works closely with groups since she was local. Ms. Johnston explained that she helps employees make choices that were good choices and would also help employees fill out enrollment papers and would also send them to the carrier. Commissioner Peters asked what kind of choices she was talking about. Ms. Johnston stated that she worked with all benefits from

life insurance to voluntary products. Ms. Johnston commented that most employees had little to no education of how this all worked.

Mr. Mike Minton, Gallagher Benefit Services, stated that a lot of groups do co-consulting and Gallagher was a worldwide organization. Mr. Minton commented that he worked out of Great Bend and he added that people get confused as to what a broker or consultant was. Mr. Minton stated that businesses needed to decide if they want to go out for a bid or two or stay with what they had. Mr. Minton commented that he tries to change that by looking at goals and see what they can do. Mr. Minton stated that they negotiate with the current company and expand and work with carriers from a consulting standpoint. Mr. Minton explained that they know the businesses goals, where they want to be and how to get there.

Mr. Minton stated that they have benefit attorneys and compliance pieces with COBRA and FMLA. Mr. Minton commented that they work hand in hand for the group to create a benefit guide that was professional. Mr. Minton explained that they did not do the broker anymore, but they strategize and bring in thought leaders to talk about certain things. Commissioner Peters commented that he had looked at doing this due to our premium being \$1½ million dollars last year and we needed to explore our options. Commissioner Peters stated that he did not want less coverage for employees than they had now and he wanted to explore self-funding. Commissioner Peters added that there were some employees that had or have had cancer and some large claims and he asked if they looked at employees past history. Mr. Minton stated that, on the consulting side, they look at the coverage in place now and keep Blue Cross Blue Shield in check.

Mr. Minton stated that their administrative fee was to make sure that the insurance was doing what they said they were going to do. Mr. Minton commented that they try to get two to three years of claims experience and they take that out to market to get some qualified bids. Mr. Minton stated that they also negotiate with Blue Cross Blue Shield. Commissioner Peters asked about self-funding. Mr. Minton stated that that was an alternative way of funding claims. Mr. Minton explained that, if premiums were \$1.5 million, you would send 1/5th to Blue Cross Blue Shield. Mr. Minton stated that stop loss ranges from \$25,000 to \$250,000. Mr. Minton explained that, if the City set their stop loss at \$50,000, the City would be liable for the first \$50,000. Commissioner Peters asked who we were paying. Mr. Minton stated that it would be the City's own fund and claims would be paid on a weekly basis and those funds would be pulled out of that account. Commissioner Peters stated that the first year was what he feared and three or four situations could clear out those funds. Mr. Minton stated that you could not underfund and he added that you do not fund at the max risk. Mr. Minton commented that self-funding makes sense and that was what they did and they would lay it out for the City and explain it. Mr. Minton added that the City could stay with BC/BS and they would negotiate with them also.

Commissioner Peters asked about being fully insured. Mr. Minton stated that that was what the City was now. Commissioner Peters questioned if they could save us by negotiating with BC/BS. Commissioner Peters commented that we were told that we would have a lower premium this coming year, but questioned if they would still negotiate. Mr. Minton stated that they would and he added that the City overpaid and that was why they were getting a decrease. Mayor Deeds asked about a stop gap. Mr. Minton explained that the stop loss was covered when you got to the \$50,000. Commissioner Peters asked if eighty-eight policies were a big enough group. Mr. Minton stated that he would not go that direction if there were only ten employees. Commissioner Peters asked if they look at health and disability and try to get a better deal without decreasing benefits. Mr. Minton stated that that was correct. Commissioner Peters asked if their fee was \$2,000 a month and if that was a year obligation. Mr. Minton stated that the City would have a 90 day out clause in the contract and it was a year contract and automatically renewed yearly. Mr. Minton added that that had never happened however. Mayor Deeds asked how long they needed to access the situation. Mr. Minton stated that getting the Blue Cross renewal was key and they needed a census and reporting from Blue Cross Blue Shield directly. Mr.

Minton commented that this would take it out of staff's hands. Mr. Minton stated that this would require a consulting agreement and they would need personal health information for Benefit Resources. Mr. Minton stated that it would take thirty days or less to gather information.

City Attorney Goff stated that she had reviewed the contracts and there were some concerns and she would like to talk to them about some amendments. Mr. Minton stated that the Gallagher contract was governed by the State of Illinois law, but she could work with him and he would work with his team. Commissioner Peters asked if the fee was for the first year and then it was up to the City whether we continue or not. Mr. Minton stated that there was no form of payment from any medical carriers and no commission fees were added to the premium. Mr. Minton commented that they would not take the City some place with less benefits. Ms. Goff asked about the provision to increase by 1% and if they were negotiable. Mr. Minton stated that he could ask. Commissioner Peters clarified that we had to give ninety days' notice to get out. Ms. Goff asked if that was negotiable. Mr. Minton again stated that he could ask.

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF BID FOR TRANSFORMERS:

Line Distribution Superintendent John Giggy informed the Commission that they had gone out for bids for a 1500 KVA transformer. Mr. Giggy stated that there had been some issues at PCC and they had nothing in stock if it had been bad. Mr. Giggy commented that they needed something on hand if anything would pop up. Commissioner Peters stated that there was quite a difference in bid amounts. Mr. Giggy explained that Sunbelt transformers were rebuilt and everything was redone, but the others were brand new. Commissioner Peters asked if they came with a warranty. Mr. Giggy stated that he was not sure and they would need to ask Director of Electric Utilities Huber. Commissioner Peters asked if we had used refurbished before. Mr. Giggy stated that they had. Commissioner Peters questioned their life expectancy. Mr. Giggy commented that they last a long time. Commissioner Peters asked about the lead time. Mr. Giggy stated that he had called and it was about thirty weeks. Commissioner Peters asked if they were worried. Mr. Giggy stated that they were not; however, they were trying to get a lot in stock. Mr. Giggy added that they were three times the cost and everybody had unbelievable lead times. Finance Director Carver asked if this would come out of the 2023 budget. Mr. Giggy stated that it probably would. With no more questions, Commissioner Siemens made a motion to approve the purchase from Sunbelt-Solomon for one 1500 KVA transformer in the amount of \$57,844.45. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Farmer and carried unanimously.

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL ADVISORY SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH STIFEL, NICOLAUS & COMPANY FOR THE GO BONDS, SERIES 2022:

City Attorney Goff stated that she had reviewed the contract from Stifel, Nicolaus and any questions she had were favorably answered. Ms. Goff explained that this was for the construction of the pool and the cost was up to the Commission. Wichita Office Manager for Stifel, Nicolaus, Mr. Steve Shogren, addressed the Commission and stated that they would love to be the City's banker. Mr. Shogren commented that it had been a challenging year with COVID happening in 2021. Mr. Shogren stated that he had met with City Manager Pinkall a few times and staff the other day and had been provided some general factual information. Mr. Shogren explained to the Commission that they were regulated by the Securities Exchange Commission and had to have an agreement in place to suggest a plan of finance for the City for this proposed project. Mr. Shogren stated that, if the Commission would decide to do nothing, the City would owe nothing.

Mr. Shogren stated that the pool was a vital part of the City's infrastructure and it was a good time to consider a replacement project and he recommended General Obligation bonds. Mr. Shogren commented that he understood that the City desired to use sales tax to fund those payments. Mr. Shogren stated that,

in full disclosure, they proposed a fee of 85/100th of 1% and advisory fees would be 75/100th of that. Mr. Shogren commented that they had added a dollar for informational development such as mailers and they would ask that the City underwrite the cost of postage and mailers. Mr. Shogren stated that they would help develop the mailers and flyers. Mayor Deeds commented that we needed to be very transparent to the community as to what our plans were.

Mayor Deeds asked if they had helped the school with their proposal and questionnaire. Mr. Shogren stated that they had worked with the school on a few different things in the past; however, the superintendent was fairly new and he had explained that he did not want to interfere with the City's goals. Mr. Shogren added that the schools would be in the future. Mayor Deeds commented that we needed to paint a clear picture of where we were and how to pay for the options we had. Mayor Deeds stated that we had experts on staff and a pool committee that had committed their time and we needed to convey to the public how much time we already had in this project. Mr. Shogren stated that he had given them some good general factual information, which gave the City some options. Mr. Shogren commented that sales tax of $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1% could affect the financing circumstances. Mr. Shogren stated that the challenge today would be the volatile interest rate market in the last couple months and the Fed's anticipate it doing more in the future. Mr. Shogren commented that there were probably variables on the cost of the pool that would create some uncertainty. Mr. Shogren added that they would do the best they could to finance it in the most cost effective way with the wishes of the Commission. With no more discussion, Commissioner Peters made a motion to approve the Financial Advisory Services Agreement with Stifel, Nicolaus & Company for the General Obligation Bonds, Series 2022. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Farmer and carried unanimously.

POOL FINANCING DISCUSSION:

City Attorney Goff stated that she had visited with Mr. Shogren and Mr. Kevin Cowan from Gilmore and Bell about different options and scenarios on how to fund this project and whether it would be through property tax or sales tax. Ms. Goff added that they would take into consideration of how much it was going to cost. Ms. Goff commented that they had discussed whether \$6.1 million was a reasonable number due to the inflation in costs of material. Ms. Goff stated that they had talked about the possibility of scaling back and Mr. Kyle McCawley had said that it was feasible to phase in some features to a \$5 million pool. Ms. Goff explained that we would need to find a contractor that was available for the end of this season. Ms. Goff stated that, with the numbers and interest rate, we would like to fund this project through a sales tax; however, that would have to be decided by the electors. Ms. Goff commented that ballot information had to go to the County Clerk by June 2nd to have it on the August 2nd ballot.

Mr. Shogren stated that they felt the analysis was conservative and there were a lot of moving parts for this type of financing. Mr. Shogren explained that there were two types of sales tax, dedicated that was limited to ten years in duration if you use the entire amount for one purpose. Mr. Shogren stated that a general sales tax and, if we spend 10% of those sales tax revenues for another purpose, it could go longer than the ten years. Mr. Shogren commented that 10% in round numbers was \$50,000 per year and he was asked at the last meeting if that could be built up for a maintenance reserve for the pool. Mr. Shogren stated that bond counsel stated that answer was yes. Mr. Shogren pointed out that that was built into the analysis that he had handed out. Mr. Shogren explained that the set aside, which could not all be used for debt service, could be used for maintenance reserve. Mr. Shogren stated that this would generate \$500,000 over ten years.

Mr. Shogren stated that they try to be conservative with the interest rate environment. Mr. Shogren commented that they talked to their trading desk in St. Louis and let them know that they had a quality community with A rated credit and bonds that would be bank qualified. Mr. Shogren added that issuers could issue up to \$10 million in tax exempt bonds in a calendar year, so another bond issuance in 2022

could get the City a better interest rate. Mr. Shogren asked their trading desk where a \$6 million bond issue would be priced today and what we could expect the bid to look like. Mr. Shogren stated that they estimated the payments of sales and use tax to \$503,000 in 2022 and the 10% split off after the first year would be about \$450,000/\$454,000 per year. Mr. Shogren explained that, if we got the pricing yields at a 4% interest rate, we would get about \$75,000 in premiums and that would be the City's money. Mr. Shogren added that a \$5,975,000 bond issue plus \$75,000 in premiums would be about \$6,050,000 in proceeds. Mr. Shogren commented that the cost of issuance with a 1.9% discount, recommending insurance for credit enhancement, all fees and bond printing, etc. was \$110,000. Mr. Shogren stated that that would provide for a \$5,800,000 pool project.

Mr. Shogren stated that, if the sales tax gets approved in August, it would not start until January 2023 and there would be a September payment due, so it would not be a full year. Mr. Shogren commented that he capitalized on interest, which was legal, of about \$27,000 to control mill levy in the first year. Mr. Shogren added that there would be a cushion of about \$52,000 the first year. Mr. Shogren stated that, based on 4% interest and these costs, the accumulated in residual for bond service was a good realistic plan and raising the rates did not change the market.

Mr. Shogren stated that, if the City wanted to go forward, he would recommend consideration of selling the GO bonds to fund for this project and they had the flexibility to take bids and adjust if they come in over the \$455. Mr. Shogren explained that you would then have to downsize the project. Mr. Shogren commented that they should know in early July what the market would allow the budget to accomplish with ¼ cent. Mr. Shogren stated that, if they were comfortable with the ½ cent sales tax, you would create more money and pay off the bonds in ten years. Mr. Shogren commented that we live in a society where affordability was sustainability and important. Mr. Shogren stated that he thought this was a realistic plan if the interest rates did not get out of whack. Mr. Shogren added that he did not expect more than a 1% increase and that would lower the project cost down to \$5,300,000. Mr. Shogren explained that, a 1% increase, would be about \$30,000 a year more to finance the bond debt.

Mayor Deeds clarified that he was talking \$2 million if we went from a ¼ cent to a ½ cent. Mr. Shogren stated that it was \$1,666,000 on \$6 million and the \$5 ½ million was a little over \$2 million. Commissioner Peters stated that it made sense to do the ½ cent with the savings and pay off in eight years. Commissioner Peters asked if we could pay it off in eight years if it was a ten-year dedicated plan. Mr. Shogren stated that, with the 4% dedicated, we would kick \$50,000 into the debt service and probably pay it off in less than eight years. Commissioner Peters asked about the mill levy if we did the ten years. Mr. Shogren commented that, on a \$6 million project fund at 3 ½%, would be 14.18 mills and that was approximately \$170 per year on a \$100,000 home. Mr. Shogren stated that sales tax was the smart way to go, because 15% to 20% would come from outsiders.

Commissioner Peters stated that we would have to do a mill levy if the sales tax did not pass; however, he asked if we could go back and try again for the sales tax. Mr. Shogren stated that he thought you could try multiple times whether you go with the same concept or something similar. Mr. Shogren commented that these were General Obligation bonds and you had the right to tax property within the City limits to repay those bonds unless the citizens vote for the sales tax. Commissioner Schmidt clarified that it was either do the mill levy that affects City residents or a sales tax which would have help from visitors. Ms. Goff stated that the other issue was what we were paying for maintenance on the existing pool and, if we had it next year, the maintenance cost could be around \$200,000. Ms. Goff added that we could put this money into a new facility that had little to no maintenance.

Commissioner Peters asked how many bids we would get on a \$6 million bond. Mr. McCawley stated that you might get three to five contracting companies. Commissioner Peters asked if it was possible that the bid could come in less than \$6 million even though we approve the bonds for that amount. Mr.

McCawley stated that that could happen and it could come in over and in that case, he would work with staff to see what the priorities were.

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 051622 AUTHORIZING CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN PUBLIC SWIMMING POOL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS:

Mayor Deeds asked if the resolution obligated us in anyway. Mr. Kevin Cowan, Gilmore and Bell, stated that the point of no return was when the bonds sold. Mr. Cowan commented that this was a three-step process and the first was project authorization, then approving the sell resolution and then the sale of the bonds, which requires a bond resolution and ordinance. Mr. Cowan stated that we had the right to reject all bids if necessary and this would happen in a couple of meetings from now. Mayor Deeds asked how far out this would be. Mr. Cowan stated that June 6th was the next regular meeting and we could do the sale resolution, which recites that we had authorized the bonds and were ready to move forward. Mayor Deeds stated that all this jives with getting it on the ballot, the campaign drive, etc. Mr. Cowan stated that we would want to call the election at the meeting on June 6th. City Attorney Goff stated that we might need a special call meeting since we had to have this to the County Clerk by June 2nd. Mr. Cowan stated that the City could wait to do the bond sales until after the election; however, that would create a challenge to getting the pool done by next year.

Ms. Goff asked if the City could issue \$5.5 million and not \$6 million, if the bids came back at \$5.5 million. Mr. Cowan stated that you did not have to issue the bonds in any particular amount and we would bump up the authorized bond amount if they came in \$50,000 higher without having to amend the resolution. Mr. Cowan added that any unspent proceeds had to go to debt services or you could also remove something from the project. Ms. Goff asked if contactors would come back with that amount if we authorized the \$6 million bond. Mr. Cowan stated that it was a competitive environment. Mr. McCawley agreed and added that the contractor would put in their margins; however, the pool had been there for quite a while and we did not know what was under it. Mr. McCawley added that there should be a contingency included for that reason. Commissioner Peters asked who verifies that we get what was in the specs. Mr. McCawley stated that his firm would do that and they also do the engineering.

Mr. Cowan stated that the project authorization resolution allows the City to enter into contracts, even after the authorized bond amount, under cash basis law and there was no need to encumber or have them separated. Ms. Goff questioned whether it would also allow us to begin soliciting bids. Mr. Cowan stated that it would. Mr. Cowan commented that we would select a contractor and issue the bonds shortly after that, so things would move quickly. Mr. Cowan stated that the City could act on the sale resolution after the August 2nd election and close the bonds in mid-September. Mayor Deeds asked which phase was where we committed to doing something. Mr. Cowan stated that it would depend on whether the City ignored the construction process and engaged in contracts. Mr. Cowan commented that that would be the point of no return.

After more discussion, the following Resolution 051622 was presented to the Commission for their approval: **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN PUBLIC SWIMMING POOL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS IN THE CITY OF PRATT, KANSAS; AND AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY TO PAY COSTS OF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS.** Mayor Deeds made a motion to approve Resolution 051622 authorizing construction of certain public swimming pool facility improvements in the City of Pratt, Kansas; and authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds of the city to pay costs of such improvements. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Farmer and carried unanimously,

OPEN AGENDA:

8 – May 16, 2022 (Regular City Commission meeting)

No one in the audience wished to address the Commission.

REPORTS:

City Manager:

- **Pool:**

City Manager Pinkall thanked everyone for taking the time to study and research how the pool project would go. Mr. Pinkall added that it was a positive sign to the community.

Public Works:

- **Pool:**

Mr. Rambat stated that Mr. McCawley would be emailing their firm's contracts to City Manager Pinkall and himself and it should be on the next agenda.

- **Department update:**

Public Works Director Rambat stated that the departments were getting things done. Mr. Rambat commented that the pool should be filled up next week. Mr. Rambat stated that he continues to mark sidewalks and curbs.

Building Inspections:

- **Burkes:**

Building Inspector Blankenship stated that Burkes was moving quickly and they were currently doing the internal part. Mr. Blankenship commented that they had received their stock.

- **Weed letters:**

Mr. Blankenship stated that they had sent out weed letters on the 12th and these were the habitual ones where the owner either lived out of the City or out of the State.

Electric Department:

- **Linemen:**

Line Distribution Superintendent Giggy stated that he was extremely proud of Mr. Tyler Markus and all the linemen. Mr. Giggy commented that it had been two years since they had lost anyone and he wanted to keep it that way.

- **10th & Jackson:**

Mr. Giggy stated that they had to replace a pole with four circuits on it today and it was not an easy task. Mr. Giggy commented that they did it in one day and it was a blessing to have guys that can do that kind of thing.

Recreation Department:

- **Busy weekends:**

Mr. Eisenhauer stated that it had been a busy couple of weekends. Mr. Eisenhauer commented that the Open Gravel Race had around 400 people participate and the car show had about eighty cars. Mr. Eisenhauer added that they had twenty-two teams in for the tournament last week and they had forty-seven this weekend. Mr. Eisenhauer stated that all the motels were full.

- **Tennis Courts:**

Mr. Eisenhauer stated that the tennis courts should be done by the end of the week.

Finance Department:

- **Budget timeline:**

Finance Director Carver stated that he had worked on a timeline for the budget with Mr. Pinkall. Mr. Carver commented that he would be attending a budget workshop webinar tomorrow and figure out how the revenue neutral rate was going to change.

Mayor and Commission:

- **Executive Session:**

Mayor Deeds stated that there would be an executive session for personnel matters after reports.

- **Police week:**

Commissioner Farmer stated that this was Police Week and he wanted to thank all the police officers for the good job that they do.

- **Memorial Weekend:**

Commissioner Farmer wished everyone a safe Memorial weekend.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

Mayor Deeds made a motion to go into executive session at 7:42 p.m. for non-elected personnel to last thirty minutes. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Farmer and carried unanimously.

Mayor Deeds made a motion to return from executive session at 8:10 p.m. with nothing to report. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Siemens and carried unanimously.

RECESS:

Commissioner Farmer made a motion to recess until Monday, May 23rd at 11:00 a.m. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Schmidt and carried unanimously.

10 – May 16, 2022 (Regular City Commission meeting)

APPROVED BY THE MAYOR:

ZACH DEEDS, Mayor



ATTEST:

LUANN KRAMER, City Clerk